

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

MOORISH HOLY TEMPLE OF SCIENCE,	§
OF THE WORLD et al.	§
Petitioners,	§
	§
vs.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 0:08-3826-HFF-PJG
	§
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA et al,	§
Respondents.	§

ORDER

This case was filed as a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 action. Petitioners are proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process upon Respondents. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on March 12, 2009, but Petitioners failed to file any objections to the Report. In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any

0:08-cv-03826-HFF Date Filed 04/09/09 Entry Number 16 Page 2 of 2

explanation for adopting the recommendation. Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th

Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment

of the Court that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus in this case be DISMISSED without

prejudice and without issuance and service of process upon Respondents.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 9th day of April, 2009, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd

HENRY F. FLOYD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

2